LEVEL OF SERVICE EVALUATION METHODS: POSSIBLE ADAPTATION FOR LITHUANIA
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Abstract. Level of Service is a quantitative measure to characterize operational conditions within a traffic stream. There is a set of factors affecting the Level of Service. These factors describe geometric parameters of the road, traffic conditions, traffic regulation conditions and base conditions. In this article, the Level of Service as a criterion for operational quality is described. Level of Service factors and performance measures are described on the basis of Highway Capacity Manual considering verifications done by countries for their own road conditions. German Highway Capacity Manual (HBS) and Highway Capacity Manual are compared for the purpose of possible adaptation for Lithuania.
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Introduction

For the general public, road quality means smooth coating or traffic without congestion without the need to wait for long hours in the middle of nowhere. The Trans-European Transport Networks (TEN-T) contribute to achieving sustainable and multimodal development of the European systems and to eliminating traffic congestion (Stefanović, Milijić, & Hristić, 2020). In addition to that, transport networks play a major role in ensuring sustainable mobility (Stefanović, Milijić, & Hristić, 2020). Road user’s perspective is very important for road network quality. An analysis of capacity and Level of Service is a mechanism used to determine how well a transportation facility is operating from a traveller’s perspective.

In their turn, Gajjar and Mohandas (2016) state that capacity analysis is a fundamental component in design, planning, operation and maintenance of roads. The capacity analysis is a tool that allows determining future capacity and efficiency of a planned or designed road. It allows increasing economic efficiency of the road at the very first stage of planning. Moreover, the analysis can solve problems for existing roads: traffic congestion, loss of time, etc.

The results of the capacity analysis are criteria describing the quality of the road. Capacity and Level of Service are quantitative measures to characterize operational conditions within a traffic flow. Level of Service is a qualitative measure used for indicating the traffic conditions in terms of speed, travel time, freedom to manoeuvre, comfort, convenience, traffic interruptions, safety, etc. (Gajjar & Mohandas, 2016). Gajjar and Mohandas (2016) maintain that Volume to Capacity Ratio ($V/C$ ratio) is the strongest indicator of the level of congestion and corresponding Level of Service.

The main purpose of this article is to describe different methodologies for determining the Level of Service. It can be achieved by describing the main conditions of road network, parameters for analysis to be done. It is important to understand what traffic volume data need to be evaluated. The most appropriate methods for Lithuanian conditions should be compared.

Lithuania, like other countries, is facing an increased number of traffic congestions on highways. The old infrastructure system is no longer capable of serving the increased number of vehicles. Moreover, design methods are not verified through qualitative parameters. Lithuania could improve road conditions, introduce the concept of self-explaining roads and reduce economic losses caused by roads that service excessive traffic flows or vice versa by identifying the most appropriate method for determining the Level of Service and capacity.
1. General regulations

The Level of Service is mostly described in *Highway Capacity Manual 2010* (HCM) (Transportation Research Board, 2010). The HCM describes a consistent system of techniques for the evaluation of the quality of service on highway and street facilities. However, it is hard to find one definition for the Level of Service. The Level of Service is a quality measure describing operating conditions with a traffic stream, generally in terms of such service measures as speed and travel time, freedom to manoeuvre, traffic interruptions, comfort, and convenience (Transportation Research Board, 2000).

The concept of Level of Service is a mechanism used to assess the operational performance of a road. The concept can be measured with respect to travel speed, traffic density, volume to capacity ratio. Similarly, to capacity analysis, the threshold values for each of these criteria vary with the functional class of the road (Jayaratne, Jayasinghe & Pasindu, 2016).

The Level of Service became an important criterion for operation quality assessment. It is used in the modern theory and practice of transportation planning, as well as design of various types of transport infrastructure (Kopylova, Mikhailoc & Shesterov, 2018). The Level of Service is calculated for different transport infrastructure facilities, such as roads, intersections, streets, metro lines, railways and other.

The Level of Service is usually described by letters A, B, C, D, E and F. Least congested conditions are described by LOS A, as LOS F means most congested conditions. Table 1 shows the general operating conditions represented by these Levels of Service. The division points between Levels of Service A through F were determined subjectively. The Level of Service has specific definitions, which can vary by facility type (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Official, 2001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Service</th>
<th>General operating conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Free flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Reasonably free flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Stable flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Approaching unstable flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Unstable flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Forced or breakdown flow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The general operating conditions represented by Levels of Service (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Official, 2011)
The HCM reports on the analytical methods. The analytical methods attempt to establish or predict the maximum flow rate for various facilities at each Level of Service. Each facility has five service flow rates, one for each Level of Service (A through E). In case of LOS F, it is difficult to predict the flow due to unstable traffic or vehicle delay is high. In addition to this, LOS F has stop-and-start conditions. The evaluation of Level of Service is the knowledge of the Passenger Car Unit (PCU). The PCU is a metric used to express the impact a given vehicle type has on traffic variables, in comparison to a passenger car (Jayaratne et al., 2016). PCU factors are influenced by both roadway and traffic characteristics.

The Level of Service can be defined by the service flow rate. The HCM presents a definition of the service flow rate, this definition is used by Luttinen (2001). The service flow rate is maximum hourly rate at which persons or vehicles reasonably can be expected to traverse a point or uniform segment of a lane or roadway during a given period under prevailing roadway, traffic, and control conditions while maintaining a designated Level of Service. In the HCM, the capacities and service flow rates are based on 15-minute time intervals. The 15-minute time intervals are a compromise between shorter time and longer interval difference. The difference between shorter interval length and longer interval length occurs due to short time traffic variations and stable traffic conditions (Luttinen, 2001).

Another approach to analysis of capacity and Level of Service is given in Swedish Highway Capacity Manual (SHCM). The Swedish Transport Administration published a new edition of the SHCM in 2014. The road design procedure applied in Sweden recommends a maximum degree of saturation for the design hour in the design year. Bergh stated that a maximum degree of saturation should normally be a degree of saturation maximum 0.8 for the theoretical 30th hour 8–15% of annual average daily traffic (ADDT) depending on road type, for year 20 after traffic opening.

The concept of the n-th highest hour of the year listing the hourly volumes in descending order is not new for Sweden. This concept was introduced to determine the traffic demand in the first edition of the German Highway Capacity Manual (HBS) in 2001. The Federal Government further decided that the 30th hour should be used as the basis for determination of the Level of Service for all Federal Freeways and trunk roads (Lemke, 2011). The 30th highest hourly volume coincides with the so-called relevant hourly volume (MSV) that traffic count statistics in Germany traditionally contained, so Lemke states that it is the reason for this decision to use the 30th highest hourly volume.
American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials recommends that the 30th highest hourly volume of the year, abbreviated as 30 HV, should be generally used in design of hourly traffic volume. The reasonableness of 30 HV as a design control is indicated by the changes that result from choosing a somewhat higher or lower volume (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, 2011).


The HCM is a very popular methodology around the world to evaluate the capacity and the Level of Service. The HCM was developed in the United States of America. It describes roadway capacity under ideal conditions and then estimates practical capacities under prevailing conditions in the field. In addition to that, the HCM method with some small modifications was established in Denmark, Finland, Norway, and Australia (Velmurugan et al., 2010).

The HCM has four volumes which describe concepts, conditions of uninterrupted and interrupted flow and present application guide. Every analysis presented in the HCM is described for different facilities, such as freeways, highways, urban streets, roundabouts, and signalized intersections.

In the following chapter, the concept of the HCM is described. It is necessary to explain the factors affecting the Level of Service and performance measures for understanding capability to customize this method for conditions of Lithuania. Mostly, the following chapter presents conditions for uninterrupted flow facilities.

2.1. Factors affecting the Level of Service

Road traffic can be described as uninterrupted flow and interrupted flow. Road facilities are classified into two categories of flow, as shown in Figure 1. Uninterrupted flow facilities have no fixed elements that might affect the traffic flow. Intersections, for example with traffic signals or roundabout itself, can be considered fixed road elements. Traffic flow conditions result from the interactions among vehicles in the traffic stream and between vehicles and the geometric and environmental characteristics of the roadway. Access points are traffic signals, stop signs, and other types of control that stop traffic or slow it. Interrupted flow facilities have controlled and uncontrolled access points that can interrupt the traffic flow (Transportation Research Board, 2000).
Types of traffic flow characterize the type of facility (as shown in Figure 1), but not the quality of the traffic flow at any given moment. The quality of the traffic flow can be described by quantitative measures as capacity and level of service. Uninterrupted flow and interrupted flow can be described by factors which can affect capacity and Level of Service. Base conditions for the calculation of the Level of Service are good weather, good pavement conditions, self-explaining roads, etc. These base conditions can be verified for facility type considering the difference in analysis. There are roadways conditions, traffic conditions and control conditions in Level of Service analysis. All these conditions must be evaluated with regard to their effect on the Level of Service.

Roadway conditions are presented as road geometric parameters and other elements. They may have influence on the Level of Service or performance measures (for example, speed). These conditions do not affect the capacity or maximum flow rate of the facility. Roadways conditions also include the number of lanes, the type of facility and its development environment, lane widths, shoulder widths and lateral clearances, design speed, horizontal and vertical alignments, and availability of exclusive turn lanes at intersections (Transportation

![Figure 1. Classification of road facilities by flow](image-url)
Research Board, 2000). For example, geometric parameters on the analysed cross-section included lane width, shoulder width, access point per kilometre and directional split (Dębiński, Bohatkiewicz & Ziębowicz, 2019).

Analysis of the Level of Service allows evaluating traffic conditions; it covers a lot of factors. The traffic conditions can be described as vehicle type and lane or directional distribution. Lane or directional distribution has an impact on two-lane highway operation. On the other hand, vehicle types can be categorized into two groups: passenger cars and heavy vehicles. The HCM describes heavy vehicles as having more than four tires touching the pavement. The category of passenger cars includes small trucks and vans. Heavy vehicles affect traffic in two ways due to their size and operating capabilities. The first reason why heavy vehicles affect traffic is that they are larger than passenger cars and occupy more roadway space (Transportation Research Board, 2000). The second one is more critical. Operating capabilities of heavy vehicles are poorer than those of passenger cars, they have poorer ability to accelerate, decelerate or even maintain speed on upgrades. In many cases, heavy vehicles must operate at lower speed than passenger cars, forming gaps in the traffic stream.

Control conditions describe the quality of interrupted flow facilities. Traffic signals, stop signs, yield signs, and other types of control and regulations must be considered in the Level of Service analysis.

2.2. Performance measures

Performance measures can be calculated for each facility type that has a defined method for assessing capacity and Level of Service. These measures reflect the operating conditions of a facility. In the HCM, performance measures are described as travel speed, density on freeways, delay at signalized intersections, and walking speed for pedestrians. According to Forde and Daniel (2017), performance measures are used to assess the performance of urban street segments and provide insights into where road operations can be improved in the HCM 2010. Performance measures usually characterize flow conditions on a facility.

A new version of the German Highway Capacity Manual (HBS) was published in 2015. Lemke (2016) suggests that capacity of the facility and the defined performance measure are to be determined. The Level of Service will be defined as a result. Every segment is analysed separately. Table 2 shows performance measures which are used in Germany to determine the Level of Service. According to Lemke (2016) every basic segment and every diverge, merge, weaving segment, or part of a signalized or unsignalized intersection is determined separately. It helps
understand if the facility under consideration will be able to cope with the expected demand or if it needs an enlargement (Lemke, 2016). The HBS uses volume-to-capacity as a performance measure (parameter \(x\)) to describe freeway segments and freeway diverge, merge, and weaving segments. Density (parameter \(k\)) is used to evaluate segments of rural roads, highway diverge, merge, and weaving segments, segments of major urban streets and pedestrian facilities. Delay (parameters \(t_w\) and \(t_p\)) is the main performance measure for signalized intersections, unsignalized intersections and accesses to parking facilities. Bicycle facilities are described by parameter \(S\), known as turbulence rate.

HCM is used in Egypt as guidelines. Hashim and Abdel-Wahed (2011) analysed two-lane roads in Egypt; the following seven performance measures were investigated:

- Average Travel Speed (ATS);
- Average Travel Speed of Passenger Cars (ATSPc);
- Average Travel Speed as a Percentage of Free-Flow Speed (ATS/FFS);
- Average Travel Speed of Passenger Cars as a Percentage of Free-Flow Speed of Passenger Cars (ATSPc/FFSPc);
- Percent Followers (PF);
- Follower Density (FD);
- Percent Impeded (PI).

In turn, Luttinen (2001) describes five main qualifications of the service measures. It can be used for describing performance measures. They should reflect the users’ perception of the quality of traffic flow;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Performance measure</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freeway segments</td>
<td>Volume-to-capacity</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeway diverge, merge, and weaving</td>
<td>Volume-to-capacity</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>segments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segments of rural roads</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>(k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway diverge, merge, and weaving</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>(k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>segments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signalized intersections</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>(t_w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsignalized intersections</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>(t_p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segments of major urban streets</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>(k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle facilities</td>
<td>Turbulence rate (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>(k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accesses to parking facilities</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>(t_d)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
be easy to measure; be compatible with the service measures of other types of highways facilities; describe both uncongested and congested conditions; as far as possible, be also useful in safety, economic and environmental analyses (Luttinen, 2001).

Performance measures are important criteria to consider in calculation of the Level of Service. It is important to understand that any facility can be described by specific performance measures. In some case, performance measures can be more important for decision making than LOS rating. As an example, HCM describes the length of queue caused by oversaturation.

3. **Comparison of HBS and HCM**

In the following article, methods of evaluation of the Level of Service are described. Research area is Level of Service parameters and methods as applied for freeways. The main aim is to understand two methodologies of Level of Service assessment: Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) (Transportation Research Board, 2010) and German Highway Capacity Manual (HBS) (FGSV, 2015). Recognition of the differences between the methods is important in deciding which method can be adopted for Lithuanian road conditions.

The second edition of the German Highway Capacity Manual was published in 2015. In the HBS, a basic freeway segment is defined as the part of a freeway carriageway between adjacent interchanges, which is further divided into sub-segments with major parameters affecting the capacity change within the segment (Geistefeldt, 2016). The current design capacities given in the HBS 2015 (Lemke, 2016) are based on capacities of more than 50 freeway segments which were empirically estimated by analysing the speed-flow diagram based on loop detector data (Geistefeldt, 2016). As described earlier, HCM specifies that analysis of the Level of Service can be done for freeway elements separately.

The HBS assessment procedure for basic freeway segments considers parameters influencing the capacity. Geistefeldt (2016) reports the following parameters:

- proportion of heavy vehicles;
- location of the freeway inside or outside urban areas, representing the share of commuters;
- number of lanes;
- gradient, if greater than 2%, and
- control conditions (no speed limit, permanent speed limit, variable speed limits, speed limit in tunnels, hard shoulder running).
In addition to that, Geistefeldt (2016) in his article reports that HBS design capacities are given as volumes per carriageway. It may be explained by regulations of Germany, where heavy vehicles are only allowed to travel on the rightmost lane. Meanwhile, design capacities are given as volumes per lane in HCM (Transportation Research Board, 2010).

Geistefeldt (2016) describes the Level of Service as volume-to-capacity \( \frac{V}{C} \) ratio, which means that it is a measure of effectiveness for basic freeway segments. The HBS is concerned about the average travel speed more than about the Level of Service due to regulations of Germany, where freeways have no speed limits.

Table 3 shows comparison of HBS and HCM methods. Both methods have certain advantages and disadvantages. The main disadvantage of HBS is that this method is created for the German road system. Germany has self-explaining road concept, but there are too many specific regulations concerning freeways traffic conditions. These regulations influence calculations of the Level of Service. On the other hand, HCM is an American methodology and its conditions must be verified for the European countries such as Lithuania. There are a lot of European countries successfully using HCM methodology with certain changes.

For the customization process of HCM to Lithuania, the following challenges emerge.

1. Freeways of Lithuania traffic flow conditions must be investigated. It should help identify uninterrupted flow and interrupted flow facility parts in freeways.
2. Proportion of heavy vehicles in traffic should be investigated as well as their impact on the traffic flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>HBS</th>
<th>HCM</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conditions affecting LOS</td>
<td>Base conditions</td>
<td>Base conditions</td>
<td>Both methods evaluate the same types of conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roadway conditions</td>
<td>Roadway conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic conditions</td>
<td>Traffic conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control conditions</td>
<td>Control conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity measure</td>
<td>Volumes per carriageway</td>
<td>Volumes per lane</td>
<td>HBS evaluate volumes per carriageway due to local regulations, HCM in this area is more open</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability</td>
<td>Segments or sub-segments</td>
<td>Segments or sub-segments</td>
<td>Different traffic conditions influence quantity of road segments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of HBS and HCM methods in evaluation of the Level of Service
3. Analysis of performance measures should be done. An analysis should show if existing performance measures are effective for the Lithuanian traffic conditions in freeways or they should be customized as well.

Conclusions

To sum up, HCM is a comprehensive methodology which describes every possible traffic situation and how it can affect the quality of the road, its capacity and the Level of Service. HBS is more focused on user quality. Different countries, such as Egypt, Spain, and Finland, are adapting HCM for their road systems adding new performance measures, which describe specific conditions of a country. Comparison of two methods and their potential allowed reaching the following conclusions and results:

- The Level of Service is a quality measure describing operating conditions of a traffic stream, generally in terms of such service measures as speed and travel time, freedom to manoeuvre, traffic interruptions, comfort, and convenience. The Level of Service is usually described by letters A, B, C, D, E and F. Least congested conditions are described by LOS A, as LOS F means most congested conditions.
- Road traffic can be described as uninterrupted flow and interrupted flow. Road facilities are categorized into two categories of flow. There are base conditions, roadways conditions, traffic conditions and control conditions in the Level of Service analysis. All these conditions should be evaluated considering their effect on the Level of Service by road facility type.
- Performance measures can be calculated for each facility type that has a defined method for assessing its capacity and the Level of Service. These measures reflect the operating conditions of a facility. In HCM, performance measures are described as travel speed, density on freeways, delay at signalized intersections, and walking speed for pedestrians. Performance measures usually characterize flow conditions on a facility.
- The main disadvantage of HBS is that this method is created for the German road system. Germany has a self-explaining road concept, but there are too many specific regulations accounting for freeways traffic conditions. These regulations influence calculations of the Level of Service. HCM is a more theoretical method and can be customized to Lithuania traffic conditions.
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