Introduction and literature review

One of the most significant problems on roads and streets is road safety. Various international organizations, countries together and individually indicate targets to decrease accidents and fatalities on roads. In 2015, the World Health Organization set a national safety target for reaching the sustainable development goals – by 2020, to halve the number of global deaths and injuries from accidents. According to the Towards a European Road Safety Area: Policy Orientations on Road Safety 2011–2020, road safety aims to reduce the number of fatalities in Europe by half by 2020. In Lithuania, the National Road Safety Development Programme for 2011–2017 was carried out with the strategic goal – become one of the ten best European Union countries according to the deaths of road users per 1 million inhabitants.

Figure 1 shows the change in road accidents and the number of people killed or injured on Lithuanian roads in 2000–2016. Since 2015, a significant decrease in fatal and injury accidents has been observed: the number of injuries has decreased twice, the number of fatal accidents – by three times. This change was achieved by implementing combined engineering and educational measures, also by increasing legal responsibility for road traffic offences.

One of the leading causes of accidents and one of the most common road traffic offences is speeding. Regardless of a higher speed limit on the roads of a particular category, 40–50% of drivers still exceed the speed limit. According to the Global Status Report on Road Safety 2015, issued by the World Health Organization, the risk of death in a road accident for a pedestrian and cyclist depends directly on the operating speed, with the increasing operating speed, the risk of the accident also increases. Results from investigations of collisions involving pedestrians and vehicles shows that 90% of pedestrians survive being hit by a vehicle at operating speed of 30 km/h, whereas only 20% survive at operating speed of 50 km/h, the chance to survive for a pedestrian or cyclist is nearly zero if hit by a vehicle at a speed of 80 km/h (OECD, ECMT, 2006).

The relationship between the mean operating speed and accident rate, also the dependence between the risk of accident and operating speed is shown in Figure 2.

Operating speed is usually controlled by educational activities (road safety campaigns, road safety projects with communities, students, clergy) and engineering traffic calming measures, which physically prevent road users from breaking traffic rules and in case of an accident – minimizes accident severity.

This paper analyses vertical traffic calming measures – trapezoidal speed humps and their impact on road users. According to Highway Safety Manual 2010, issued by Ameri-
can Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, implementation of engineering traffic calming measures reduces accidents on roads by 30%.

In Lithuania, R ISEP 10 Recommendations for the Design and Application of Engineering Traffic Safety Measures, approved by the Lithuanian Road Administration under the Ministry of Transport and Communications, regulate the installation of trapezoidal speed humps. Geometrical parameters of trapezoidal speed humps, i.e., height, length, and slope, depending on the speed (Table 1).

Implementation of traffic calming measures in Lithuania has been increasing. Most literature sources analyse the impact of speed humps on the operating speed, though it is essential to figure out the impact of speed humps on the environment and on the road users, i.e., vehicle drivers and occupants.

The research aim is to introduce shocks and vibration levels when a car passes a speed hump at speed higher than the speed limit. The geometry of a hump is a significant factor in altering the level of these shocks and specifying the speed limit (Khorshid, Alkalby, & Kamal, 2007). Watts (1973) stated that the ideal speed hump should be crossed without damage to vehicle or load, without loss of control or driver comfort.

The occupants are exposed to whole-body mechanical vibration during their daily trip. The whole-body vibrations originate from two different type of forces – the random and the sudden forces designated as a shock (Granlund, Ahlin, & Lundström, 2000). When the tires hit a hump or sink into a pothole, a shock occurs. If this shock is strong enough, it causes severe spinal injury (Bowrey, Thomas, Evans, & Richmond, 1996; Dupuis & Zerlett, 1986) and other harm (Ji, Eger, & Dickey, 2017; Patel & Vasudevan, 2016). Also among many categories involved, professional drivers are one of the most exposed groups, as exposure time may last for the working period.

The reported research by Rosegger (1960) claimed that shaking and jolting may lead to macrotrauma and microtrauma to the vertebrae. The work by Troup (1988) argued that transmitted road-shock is a source of back problems. Johanning, Fischer, Christ, Gores, & Landsbergis (2002), Sandover (1998), Paddan & Griffin (2002), Lings & Leboeuf-Yde (2000), and Teschke, Nicol, Davies, and Ju (1999) concluded that the drivers of certain vehicles are at risk for lower back problems. The study on the effect of long-term exposure to whole-body vibration by Wikström, Kjellberg, and Landström (1994) concluded that

### Table 1. Dimensions of trapezoidal speed humps depending on the speed according to the R ISEP 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed, km/h</th>
<th>Length $L$, m</th>
<th>Height $h$, m</th>
<th>Slope $i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.00–5.00</td>
<td>0.10*</td>
<td>1.6–1:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:10–1:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1:20–1:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: 'the height of trapezoidal speed hump ranges from 0.08 m to 0.12 m depending on the situation*
many repeated shocks with a sufficient level and duration might lead to back problems. The experimental work by Granlund, Lindstroms, and Vägen (2003) demonstrated a high level of shocks introduced to the occupants while crossing speed humps. There are several reported Scandinavian injury cases when passing the traffic calming speed humps by bus (Khorshid, Alkalby, & Kamal, 2007).

The impact on road safety – the level of discomfort to drivers and occupants, as well as evaluation of the possible loss of vehicle control, caused by the vibration when passing trapezoidal speed humps (Table 1) were determined during experimental research. Jagannathan, Sivapirakasham, Balasubramanian, and Sreenath (2014) conducted similar research aimed at measuring the whole-body vibration transmitted to the driver as well as other passengers during the trip by bus and to compare the results obtained with the comfort chart and health guidance criteria set in ISO 2631-1:1997.

1. Experimental methods

1.1. Research object

This research aimed to analyse the impact of trapezoidal speed humps on the driver and the occupants of the vehicle. Trapezoidal speed humps were investigated on the national and regional roads of Vilnius region in cooperation with the State Enterprise “Vilniaus regiono keliai”.

The essential data about trapezoidal speed humps in Vilnius region were obtained. By the year 2016, 224 trapezoidal speed humps were installed on the roads of national significance in Vilnius region. Fifty-eight trapezoidal speed humps were randomly selected for the experiment, all of them made of asphalt. The service period of the investigated trapezoidal speed humps varied from 1 to 9 years.

1.2. Experiment methodology

The amount of shock, which might harm the health of occupants, depends on the operating speed, speed hump geometry, vehicle type, the position of occupants, and evaluation method (Khorshid, Alkalby, & Kamal, 2007).

To figure out the impact of a trapezoidal speed hump on the driver and the occupant vibrations were analysed depending on the slope of a trapezoidal speed hump.

The experiment was carried out by a mobile phone. A car phone holder was attached to the windscreen. The driver was the only person in the car during the experiment. The experiment procedure was as follows:

1. Data on the vibrations and the frequencies inside the vehicle were determined using the vibration meter VibSensor in a mobile phone when a car was passing through the speed hump at a permissible speed. Vibrations were divided into three orthogonal axes depending on the direction in which it affects the human body:
   - vertical vibrations – from feet towards the head (in this case \( X \) vibration (axis));
   - horizontal vibrations – from right to left (in this case \( Y \) vibration (axis));
   - horizontal vibrations – from the chest to the back (in this case \( Z \) vibration (axis)).

Two charts were obtained showing:
   - acceleration over time (Figure 3);
   - Power Spectral Density (PSD) (Figure 4).

2. Using a Digital Spirit Level the approach slope of the speed hump was measured (a Digital Spirit Level measures angles and inclinations).

Figure 3 shows vibration changes with the change in acceleration (in \( m/s^2 \)) over time in \( X \), \( Y \), and \( Z \)-axes. The chart shows time when the vehicle reaches the hump – after the first second. At mentioned time vibration shocks increase up to seven times. The chart (Figure 3) indicates much higher vibrations, produced by the vehicle passing the speed hump, than those passing a flat surface.

Figure 4 shows the Power Spectral Density (PSD) (in \( m^2/s^3 \)) indicating acceleration energy distribution in a specific frequency range. The frequency resonances are 1.16 Hz, 2.3 Hz, and 29 Hz.

2. Results

Vibration tests were carried out when driving at a permissible speed of 30 km/h or 50 km/h. Maximum vibration values were produced on the vertical axis, i.e., vibrations from the feet towards the head. The maximum vertical vibration shocks range from 2.25 m/s² to 20.76 m/s². The most common frequency resonances are 1.6 Hz and 30 Hz.

The results of the experiment according to the slopes of trapezoidal speed hump and vibrations showed no linear dependence on vertical vibrations inside the vehicle and the approach slope of a trapezoidal speed hump. It is complicated to accurately determine the slope of a hump because of deterioration, corrugation and other damages, so the results could be influenced according to this fact.
Based on the vibration data, it was decided to analyse the impact of the discomfort of the driver and the occupants. The effect of trapezoidal speed humps on the driver and the occupants was evaluated by:
1. Vertical acceleration values (Piersol & Paez, 2009);
3. Vibration Dose Value (VDV).

2.1. Vertical acceleration values

Piersol and Paez in 2009 stated about values of vibration unit shocks in the vertical direction. However, these values are about, because adverse vibration effects are influenced by age, physical condition, clothing, weight, and many other factors. If the permissible values are exceeded, fractures of the lumbar and thoracic vertebrae occur first. While in and of itself, this injury may not be classified as severe, small changes in orientation may be enough to involve the spinal cord, an injury being extraordinary severe and life-threatening. Neck injuries from vertical accelerations seem to occur at considerably higher levels (Piersol & Paez, 2009).

The research results, processed according to Piersol and Paez (2009), are shown in Figure 5. The majority of vertical unit vibrations, caused by trapezoidal speed humps, were found to hurt the driver and the occupant. Only about 5% of trapezoidal speed humps caused no discomfort, and 35% were above the comfort limit. Even 60% of trapezoidal speed humps exceeded the limit of very undesirable discomfort.

2.2. The standard LST ISO 2631-1:2004

The obtained research data was evaluated based on the Lithuanian standard LST ISO 2631-1:2004. The standard imposes no strict requirements for the valid vibration limit. It provides reference values for generally weighted accelerations, affecting passengers and causing comfort or discomfort during the trip, m/s²:
- less than 0.315 – no discomfort;
- 0.315–0.63 – a bit uncomfortable;
- 0.5–1.0 – quite uncomfortable;
- 0.8–1.6 – uncomfortable;
- 1.25–2.5 – very uncomfortable;
- more than 2 – especially uncomfortable.

According to the LST ISO 2631-1:2004 all the analysed trapezoidal speed humps were determined as causing discomfort (Figure 6). 88% of humps caused the feeling from quite uncomfortable to very uncomfortable, and 12% of humps represented the upper values – they were mainly uncomfortable.

The results could be more accurate according to the LST ISO 2631-1:2004 when evaluating comfort, if calculations were done by using the weighted root-mean-square acceleration to determine the effect of the whole-body vibrations on the driver and the occupants.

2.3. The Vibration Dose Value

Based on the Regulations for the Exposure of Workers to the Risks of Vibration, for the whole-body vibration:
- the daily exposure limit value, calculated for eight-hour working time, shall be less than 1.15 m/s² or a VDV shall be less than 21 m/s¹.₇⁵;
- the daily exposure action value, calculated for eight-hour working time, shall be less than 0.5 m/s² or a Vibration Dose Value (VDV) shall be less than 9.1 m/s¹.₇⁵.

The Vibration Dose Value was calculated according to the Regulations for the Exposure of Workers to the Risks of Vibration in Lithuania and Practical Recommendations for Applying Regulations for the Exposure of Workers to the Risks of Vibration in Lithuania.

Based on the LST ISO 2631-1:2004 the vibration assessment method according to the VDV was determined as proper. The peak factor was used to figure out if the evaluation method is suitable to describe vibration intensity by the impact on human. The core of vibration evaluation method is usually sufficient (LST ISO 2631-1:2004), when the peak factor is less or equal to 9. The peak factors, obtained in this research, are less than 9. In the main assessment method, the weighted root-mean-square acceleration was used.

The Vibration Dose Value was calculated by specifying that during 8 hours of the working time the calculated effect of acceleration over humps lasts 96 seconds. The root-mean-square acceleration value was calculated for 3
The accident severity. Currently, 40–50% of drivers in the
dents, which affects the risk of the accident and also affects
1. Speeding is one of the most common causes of acci-
Conclusions
seconds time interval and 32 periods since it is the average
number of speed humps passed daily in normal condi-
tions by professional drivers (Khorshid, Alkalby, & Kamal,
However, the number of passed daily speed humps may
be higher, as in Teschke, Nicol, Davies, and Ju (1999)
study where this number was 264. It was assumed that the
same speed hump was passed daily 32 times.
The distribution of VDV of the analysed trapezoidal
speed humps is shown in Figure 7.
Calculations of the VDV showed that 10% of trapezo-
dal speed humps exceeded the daily exposure value.

The results of the research of slopes of speed humps
and vibrations indicated that there is no linear de-
pendence between vertical vibrations inside the
vehicle and the approach slope. The complicated
measuring process of approach slopes may have in-
fluenced the above result. It would be appropriate
to repeat the test using more precision test devices
to assess the impact on the discomfort of the driver
and the occupants based on the slope of a trapezo-
dal speed hump.
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